

---

**Exhibit A**  
**-- Redline Comparison --**

---

Max Weinstein, Mass. Bar No. 600982  
(~~Application for~~ Admitted *Pro Hac Vice* ~~Admission Pending~~)  
Email: max.weinstein@cfpb.gov  
CONSUMER FINANCIAL  
PROTECTION BUREAU  
1700 G Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20552  
Phone: 202-435-9172  
Fax: (202) 435-5468

Colin Hector, Cal. Bar No. 281795  
Email: colin.hector@cfpb.gov  
CONSUMER FINANCIAL  
PROTECTION BUREAU  
301 Howard St., Suite 1200  
San Francisco, CA 94105  
Phone: (681) 326-7093  
Fax: (202) 435-5468

*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
*Consumer Financial Protection Bureau*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**CENTRAL DISTRICT OF ~~C~~ALIFORNIA**  
**SOUTHERN DIVISION**

Consumer Financial Protection Bureau,  
*Plaintiff,*

~~vs~~ v.

Experian Information Solutions, Inc.,  
*Defendant.*

) Case ~~Number~~ No: 8:25-cv-~~24~~00024-  
) MWC-DFM

) **FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT**  
) **FOR**  
) **INJUNCTIVE RELIEF,**  
) **REDRESS,**  
) **DISGORGEMENT,**  
) **AND**  
) **CIVIL MONEY**  
) **PENALTIES**

) **ACTION SEEKING**

) **NATIONWIDE RELIEF**

## INTRODUCTION

1. The Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (“Bureau”) brings this action against Experian Information Solutions, Inc. (“Experian” or “the Company”) under the Fair Credit Reporting Act (“FCRA”), 15 U.S.C. § 1681 et seq., and the Consumer Financial Protection Act of 2010 (“CFPA”), 12 U.S.C. §§ 5536(a)(1)(a)–(b), 5564, and 5565. This action alleges that Experian violated both Acts by failing to reasonably reinvestigate consumer disputes challenging the accuracy or completeness of information in consumer reports, including by failing to forward all relevant information to furnishers, failing to provide adequate or accurate notice to consumers of the outcome of their disputes, and failing to utilize reasonable procedures to ensure the accuracy and completeness of information in consumers’ files.

2. Experian is one of the largest consumer reporting agencies (“CRAs”) in the country. A primary aspect of its business is collecting and organizing data on most adult Americans to generate consumer reports, consumer file disclosures, and credit scores that reflect consumers’ credit activity and history. As Experian acknowledges, its consumer reporting services “play an integral part in the financial lives of millions of people.”

3. This is because Experian sells its consumer reports to creditors and businesses who are evaluating whether to offer a consumer various products, services, and opportunities, such as a loan, a job, or housing.

4. Information reflected in consumer reports is provided to CRAs by data furnishers, such as banks, credit card companies, or debt collectors, and other sources. Errors in consumer reports can be significant for consumers, resulting in the denial of credit, employment, or housing, or being offered less favorable credit terms.

5. Recognizing the serious consequences borne by consumers when their consumer reports contain inaccurate information, Congress enacted the FCRA in

1 1970 to, in part, “[e]nsure that consumer reporting agencies [like Experian]  
2 exercise their grave responsibilities with fairness, impartiality and a respect for the  
3 consumer’s right to privacy.” 15 U.S.C. § 1681(a)(1)-(4).

4 6. The FCRA demands that CRAs use reasonable procedures to assure  
5 maximum possible accuracy of information contained in consumer reports, 15  
6 U.S.C. § 1681e(b), and provides a mechanism for consumers to dispute any  
7 incomplete or inaccurate information in their report. 15 U.S.C. § 1681i.

8 7. When a consumer disputes the accuracy or completeness of  
9 information in their consumer report, the FCRA requires Experian to conduct a  
10 “reasonable reinvestigation” of the disputed information and report the results of  
11 the reinvestigation to the consumer, all within certain timelines. 15 U.S.C.  
12 § 1681i(a). At the conclusion of the reinvestigation, Experian must modify or  
13 delete any item of information found to be inaccurate or incomplete, or that it  
14 could not verify. 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(5). For any information deleted as a result  
15 of a dispute, the FCRA imposes specific obligations on Experian that must be  
16 satisfied before that information may be reinserted into a consumer’s file. 15  
17 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(5)(C).

18 8. Despite its obligations under the FCRA, Experian fails consumers  
19 who dispute information in their consumer reports at every stage of the dispute  
20 process.

21 9. First, Experian’s faulty intake procedures fail to accurately convey all  
22 relevant information regarding the dispute to the original furnisher of the disputed  
23 information, and, at times, Experian simply fails to provide furnishers with  
24 consumer-submitted documentation that supports the dispute.

25 10. Second, Experian uncritically accepts the original furnisher’s response  
26 to the disputed information, even when that response was improbable or illogical  
27 on its face or when Experian has other information in its possession that alerts or  
28 should alert Experian to the possibility that the furnisher might be unreliable.

1 11. Third, at the conclusion of its reinvestigation, Experian sends  
2 consumers notices that fail to inform them of the reinvestigation results, and  
3 instead provides information that is confusing, ambiguous, incorrect, and internally  
4 inconsistent.

5 12. Additionally, Experian's problematic dispute resolution processes  
6 have resulted in several other issues, including Experian's outright failure to  
7 reinvestigate a significant number of disputes within the timeline required by the  
8 FCRA, its ongoing refusal to reinvestigate hard inquiry disputes, and its routine  
9 failure to ensure that previously deleted tradelines are not improperly reinserted  
10 into a consumer's file.

11 13. Experian's ~~faulty dispute intake procedures and failure to provide~~  
12 ~~furnishers with consumer submitted documentation~~, uncritical deference to  
13 furnishers' responses to the disputed information, and failure to inform consumers  
14 of the results of reinvestigations also violate the CFPA's prohibition on unfair acts  
15 or practices. 12 U.S.C. § 5531(c)(1).

16 14. Experian's failures are an abdication of its obligations under the  
17 FCRA and the CFPA, and the Bureau brings this action to rectify these failings and  
18 impose civil money penalties.

### 19 VENUE

20 15. Venue is proper in this district because the Defendant resides and does  
21 business in this district. 12 U.S.C. § 5564(f).

### 22 PARTIES

23 16. The Bureau is an ~~independent~~ Executive Branch agency of the United  
24 States created by the CFPA. 12 U.S.C. § 5491(a). The Bureau ~~has independent~~  
25 ~~litigating authority and~~ is authorized to initiate civil actions in federal district court  
26 to secure appropriate relief for violations of Federal consumer financial law, 12  
27 U.S.C. §§ 5564(a)-(b) and 5565, including the FCRA and the CFPA, 12 U.S.C.  
28 § 5481(12)(F) and (14).

1           17. Experian is a nationwide consumer reporting agency with its North  
2 American Headquarters in Costa Mesa, California. At all times material to this  
3 Complaint, Experian transacted business in this district and nationwide.

4           18. Experian collects, analyzes, maintains, or provides consumer report  
5 information or other account information, including information related to the  
6 credit history of consumers. This information is used or expected to be used in  
7 connection with any decision regarding the offering or provision of a consumer  
8 financial product or service. These products or services are consumer financial  
9 products or services covered by the CFPA. 12 U.S.C. § 5481(5) and (15)(A)(ix).

10           19. Further, Experian offers consumer reports, consumer file disclosures  
11 and credit scores, which are consumer financial products or services because they  
12 are or relate to consumer reports or other account information, including  
13 information relating to the credit history of consumers that Experian collects and  
14 maintains about a consumer's account. Experian offers or provides these financial  
15 products and services to consumers primarily for personal, family, or household  
16 purposes, and delivers, offers, and provides them in connection with consumer  
17 financial products or services such as consumer credit. These products or services  
18 are therefore consumer financial products or services covered by the CFPA. 12  
19 U.S.C. § 5481(5) and (15)(A)(ix).

20           20. Because Experian engages in offering or providing a consumer  
21 financial product or service, Experian is a "covered person" under the CFPA. 12  
22 U.S.C. § 5481(6).

23           21. Experian is a "consumer reporting agency" as defined by the FCRA.  
24 *See* 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(f). Experian compiles and maintains files on consumers on  
25 a nationwide basis as that term is defined in the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(p).  
26 Experian is therefore subject to the FCRA.  
27  
28

## BACKGROUND

22. The FCRA imposes a variety of obligations on CRAs like Experian to ensure that they report accurate information in consumer reports.

23. A core obligation is that if a consumer disputes the completeness or accuracy of information in their consumer report, the CRA must “conduct a reasonable reinvestigation” to determine whether the disputed information is accurate. 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(1)(A).

24. Within five business days of receiving the dispute, the CRA must provide notice of the dispute to the furnisher of the disputed information. A furnisher is any entity that provides financial information about a consumer to a CRA, such as a bank, credit card company, or debt collector. The notice to the furnisher must include all relevant information received by the CRA regarding the dispute. 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(2)(A).

25. The CRA must complete the reinvestigation within 30 days after the dispute is received unless an extension is sought. 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(1)(A).

26. After the reinvestigation, the CRA must provide the consumer “written notice” of the “results of the reinvestigation” no later than five business days after its completion. 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(6)(A).

27. The FCRA further requires that the furnisher maintain reasonable procedures to prevent the reinsertion of previously deleted information, notify consumers within five business days if previously deleted information was reinserted, and ensure maximum possible accuracy of consumer reports. 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681i(a)(5)(B), (C), 1681e(b).

### **e-OSCAR and the ACDV Process**

28. When a CRA receives a consumer dispute, the primary way information about the dispute is communicated to furnishers is on a web-based platform called e-OSCAR. Information about the disputed information is

transmitted over the e-OSCAR platform via an Automated Credit Dispute Verification form (“ACDV”).

29. The ACDV is a one-page form that contains identifying information about the consumer such as name, address, social security, and date of birth. The ACDV also reflects the disputed account (also known as a tradeline) as it is currently reported. The ACDV provides limited information about the consumer’s dispute, including a three-digit “dispute code” that indicates the basis of the dispute. There is sometimes a short free-form description of the dispute, based on information provided by the consumer. The ACDV may also have other relevant information attached to it, including consumer-submitted documentation supporting the dispute.

30. Below is a reproduction of an Experian ACDV:

PROGRAM: [REDACTED]		EXPERIAN-CONSUMER ASSISTANCE - CAPS		PAGE: [REDACTED]																					
RUN DATE: [REDACTED]		ACDV Response		DOCUMENT VIEWED: [REDACTED]																					
RUN TIME: [REDACTED]		Auto Response:		[REDACTED]																					
SUBCODE: [REDACTED]		ACCOUNT #:		[REDACTED]																					
DISPUTE REASON: [REDACTED]		SUBSCRIBER: [REDACTED]		[REDACTED]																					
REMARKS: [REDACTED]		[REDACTED]		Office: [REDACTED]																					
[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]		Date Sent: [REDACTED]																					
[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]		Date Due: [REDACTED]																					
[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]		Resp Date: [REDACTED]																					
[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]		DNR Date: [REDACTED]																					
[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]		Name Flag: [REDACTED]																					
[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]		Second Name: [REDACTED]																					
[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]		Curr Addr Flag: [REDACTED]																					
[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]		Prev Addr Flag: [REDACTED]																					
[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]		SSN Flag: [REDACTED]																					
[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]		DOB Flag: [REDACTED]																					
[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]		Authorized Verifier: [REDACTED]																					
[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]		Phone: [REDACTED]																					
[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]		DF Contact Phone #: [REDACTED]																					
TRADE INFORMATION		SUBSCRIBER RESPONSE		ON PROFILE																					
Acct Condition/Currn Status:		[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]																					
Acct Status/Rating:		[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]																					
Payment Rating:		[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]																					
CII:		[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]																					
Balance:		[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]																					
Balance Date:		[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]																					
Amt Past Due:		[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]																					
Orig Delinq Date:		[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]																					
Credit Limit/Orig Amt:		[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]																					
High Credit Balance:		[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]																					
Charge Off Amt:		[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]																					
Sch Monthly Pay: Act Pay:		[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]																					
Portfolio Name:		[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]																					
Date Last Pay:		[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]																					
Open Date: Closed Date:		[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]																					
Spec Comm Code:		[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]																					
Cons Compl Code:		[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]																					
Type: Terms: Freq:		[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]																					
Original Creditor:		[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]																					
Special Payment/Date/Amt:		[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]																					
Response History Grid												On-File History Grid													
Year	Dec	Nov	Oct	Sep	Aug	Jul	Jun	May	Apr	Mar	Feb	Jan	Year	Dec	Nov	Oct	Sep	Aug	Jul	Jun	May	Apr	Mar	Feb	Jan
2020													2020												
2019													2019												
2018													2018												
2017													2017												
2016													2016												
2015													2015												
2014													2014												
2013													2013												



1           31. After the furnisher reviews the information provided in and attached  
2 to the ACDV, it returns the ACDV to the CRA, indicating with a two-digit  
3 “response code” whether the disputed information is accurate or should be  
4 modified or deleted.

5           32. The ACDV is typically the only way CRAs and furnishers  
6 communicate during a reinvestigation.

### 7                                   **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

#### 8           **Experian Fails to Adequately Convey All Relevant Information to Furnishers**

9           33. Consumers who identify inaccurate or incomplete information in their  
10 Experian consumer report can submit disputes to Experian by mail, over the  
11 telephone, or electronically through Experian’s website (its “Online Dispute  
12 Center”) or mobile application. Experian typically processes over a million  
13 consumer disputes per month.

14           34. When submitting a dispute, consumers can, and frequently do, support  
15 their disputes by submitting relevant documentation, such as bank statements,  
16 canceled checks, or settlement agreements, among other things. Consumers can  
17 submit supporting documents via mail or electronically.

18           35. For disputes accompanied by consumer-submitted documentation,  
19 Experian’s policies require that its agents determine whether the documents are  
20 sufficiently conclusive for Experian to make the change requested by the  
21 consumer. Experian refers to this as making an “internal update.”

22           36. Experian resolves a minority of disputes via an internal update. If  
23 Experian does not make an internal update, it prepares an ACDV to transmit to the  
24 furnisher through e-OSCAR. Experian’s policy directs its agents to attach any  
25 consumer-submitted documentation that contains additional relevant information to  
26 the ACDV, although in some cases its agents fail to do so.  
27  
28

1           37. The dispute codes included in the ACDV are integral to the dispute  
2 resolution process. As explained above, dispute codes are used by Experian to  
3 communicate the underlying basis of the dispute to the furnisher.

4           38. Because Experian relies on the ACDV to communicate with  
5 furnishers about consumer disputes, it is important that Experian's selected dispute  
6 code accurately conveys the basis of the dispute to the furnisher. But Experian  
7 routinely sends dispute codes to furnishers that mischaracterize or fail to convey  
8 highly relevant information about consumers' disputes.

9           39. Many of these failures stem from Experian's Online Dispute Center.  
10 When consumers submit a dispute on the Online Dispute Center, they select a  
11 dispute description from a prepopulated list to categorize the nature of their  
12 dispute, which Experian then translates into a formal e-OSCAR dispute code that it  
13 inputs into the ACDV. Experian's Online Dispute Center presents consumers with  
14 a significantly smaller set of dispute descriptions than Experian uses when  
15 consumers have submitted mail and telephone disputes. On the Online Dispute  
16 Center, for example, there are no dispute descriptions that correspond to formal e-  
17 OSCAR codes for account settled, date of first delinquency disputed, paid by  
18 insurance, consumer stated company will delete, and consumer stated company  
19 will change.

20           40. As an illustration, Experian's Online Dispute Center instructs  
21 consumers to select a dispute description of "Account paid in full" if consumers  
22 "believe [they] have paid the account in full or that it was paid in settlement." A  
23 separate dispute description for account settled is not available to consumers on the  
24 Online Dispute Center, even though it would more precisely describe the nature of  
25 the dispute.

26           41. When Experian ~~then notifies the furnisher about these~~ generates an  
27 ACDV for disputes ~~via an ACDV~~ described as "Accounts paid in full" on the  
28 Online Dispute Center, it translates the is dispute description ~~selected by the~~

~~consumer to the formal~~ e-OSCAR dispute code 106 (“Disputes present/previous Account Status, History”) indicating that the consumer is disputing the account’s status (i.e., whether the lender or creditor considers the account current or in collections), ~~even though a separate, more precise~~). Experian does not translate a dispute submitted by the Online Dispute Center to e-OSCAR dispute code ~~exists that would indicate to the furnisher that the consumer is claiming the disputed account has been settled~~. 010 (“Settlement or partial payments accepted”). Indeed, ~~Experian makes no~~ even though Experian’s own agents use e-OSCAR code 010 to characterize telephone and mail disputes, Experian does not permit the use of the e-OSCAR settlement dispute code for similar disputes received through the Online Dispute Center.

42. As a result, furnishers receive nothing more than a generic, unspecific code (“Disputes present/previous Account Status, History”), when consumers are actually disputing the accuracy of the account because they have settled for less than the total amount owed. Experian could, but does not, inform these furnishers that the bases of consumers’ disputes are actually “Settlement or partial payments accepted.”

~~42.~~43. This is just one example of how furnishers receive either a mischaracterization or a less precise description of the basis for disputes submitted via the Online Dispute Center.

~~43.~~44. Other failures stem from Experian’s frequent use of an inaccurate or confusing dispute code when processing disputes submitted via telephone or mail. For example, when a consumer lodges a dispute claiming that an account was paid, Experian inserts into the ACDV the e-OSCAR dispute code labeled “Claims account closed” instead of an appropriate dispute code for paid accounts.

~~44.~~45. As another example, Experian inserts into the ACDV the intentionally generic e-OSCAR dispute code labeled “Claims inaccurate information. Did not provide specific dispute.” even where the consumer provides specific information

1 pertaining to the dispute, such as disputing the date of first delinquency or the  
2 payment of an account, and for which a more specific dispute code, such as  
3 “Disputes Date of First Delinquency” and “Disputes present/previous Account  
4 Status, History” is available and appropriate.

5 ~~45.46.~~ And as another example, Experian agents apply dispute codes  
6 requesting updates that are already reflected on consumers’ tradelines and  
7 therefore do not correspond to the actual substance of consumers’ disputes. As one  
8 illustration, agents apply the “Claims account closed” dispute code to tradelines  
9 that *already display as closed*. The fact that the update requested is already  
10 reflected on the tradeline suggests that the basis for the underlying dispute differs  
11 from the dispute code selected by Experian, and that Experian has not accurately  
12 described the nature of the dispute to the furnisher.

13 ~~46.47.~~ By failing to select appropriate dispute codes, Experian fails to  
14 accurately convey the basis of ~~the~~ consumers’ disputes to furnishers in what is  
15 usually the *only* communication Experian has with the furnisher during its  
16 reinvestigation. By using inaccurate or misleading dispute codes, Experian fails to  
17 provide the furnisher with all relevant information about the consumer’s dispute  
18 and fails to fulfill its reasonable reinvestigation obligations.

19 ~~47.48.~~ In addition to transmitting misleading, confusing, or inaccurate  
20 dispute codes to furnishers, Experian also fails to always include relevant  
21 consumer submitted documentation with the ACDV transmitted to the furnisher,  
22 despite Company policy requiring agents to do so. Relevant consumer  
23 documentation often contains information that is not conveyed elsewhere on the  
24 ACDV, including probative evidence that supports the merits of the consumer’s  
25 dispute. As a result, Experian fails to provide the furnisher with all relevant  
26 information about the consumer’s dispute and fails to fulfill its reasonable  
27 reinvestigation obligations.  
28

1           49. When Experian fails to provide the furnisher with documents  
2 submitted by the consumer in support of their dispute, Experian deprives the  
3 furnisher of critical and sometimes dispositive information regarding a dispute  
4 such as a letter from the furnisher's debt collector acknowledging payment in full  
5 made by the consumer.

6           ~~48.~~50. These failures hinder the reinvestigation. The absence of consumer  
7 submitted documents prevents the furnisher from resolving disputes correctly, and  
8 results in inaccurate information remaining in consumers' files.

9           ~~49.~~51. As a result of the above, Experian fails to conduct a reasonable  
10 reinvestigation and convey all relevant information to furnishers, which causes or  
11 increases the risk that inaccurate information remains in consumers' files.

12  
13           **Experian ~~Over-relies~~Regularly Relies Exclusively on Furnishers' ACDV**  
14 **Responses During its Reinvestigation Even When Presented with Information**  
15 **Suggesting that the Furnisher is Unreliable**

16           ~~50.~~52. Experian regularly ~~over~~-relies exclusively on furnishers' ACDV  
17 responses when conducting a reinvestigation, even when Experian possesses  
18 information that alerts or should alert Experian to the possibility that the furnisher  
19 of the disputed information might be unreliable. As a result, Experian permits  
20 inaccurate information to remain in consumers' files. Experian does so even  
21 though cost-effective measures exist to resolve any outstanding factual issues.

22           ~~51.~~53. Experian's ~~over~~-inappropriate and exclusive reliance on furnishers'  
23 ACDV responses occurs in at least three categories of disputes: disputes where  
24 consumer documentation provides highly probative evidence about the dispute,  
25 disputes where Experian itself possesses relevant information about the dispute in  
26 its own consumer files, and disputes where Experian receives illogical and  
27 inconsistent ACDV responses from furnishers.

28           ~~52.~~54. Consumers often provide documentation that, at a minimum, should  
put Experian on notice that reinvestigation beyond the furnisher's ACDV response

1 is necessary. For example, consumers frequently provide Experian with the date or  
2 case number of a bankruptcy petition discharging a particular debt that is still being  
3 reported on their consumer report, or documents reflecting an agreement to settle a  
4 debt for a certain amount. In other instances, consumers provide Experian  
5 documentation showing that the furnisher had previously agreed to delete or  
6 correct information in the disputed tradeline.

7 ~~53.~~55. Such documents are sufficient to put Experian on notice that the  
8 furnisher may have transmitted unreliable information. Yet, Experian regularly  
9 gives the supporting documents no weight in resolving the dispute and routinely  
10 conducts no further reinvestigation of the dispute beyond the furnisher's ACDV  
11 response.

12 ~~54.~~56. Next, Experian frequently possesses relevant supporting information  
13 in *its own consumer files* that should alert it to a furnisher's unreliability. For  
14 example, Experian often possesses information confirming the existence of a  
15 bankruptcy fitting the description in the consumer's dispute, or information  
16 confirming that a consumer has made a settlement payment. Often this information  
17 alerts or should alert Experian to the possibility that the tradeline disputed by the  
18 consumer might be inaccurate and the furnisher might be unreliable. Yet, Experian  
19 routinely conducts no additional reinvestigation based on this information, and  
20 instead relies solely on the furnisher's ACDV response to resolve the dispute.

21 ~~55.~~57. Finally, Experian frequently receives furnisher responses that contain  
22 data that is either illogical or facially and internally inconsistent, but it still accepts  
23 the furnisher's response without further reinvestigation into the dispute.

24 ~~56.~~58. For example, Experian receives ACDV responses with inconsistent  
25 information, such as indicating a consumer's overdue balance is *greater* than the  
26 total amount due. Other ACDV responses state that a consumer first became  
27 delinquent on an installment debt a month *after* the consumer had paid the account  
28 to a zero-dollar balance.

1 ~~57.59.~~Receiving an ACDV with illogical or inconsistent data ~~is~~ alerts or  
2 should alert Experian to the possibility that the original furnisher of the disputed  
3 information might be unreliable and the disputed information may be inaccurate.  
4 Yet, Experian regularly accepts the ACDV response in those circumstances and  
5 ignores the fact that it contains illogical or inconsistent information.

6 ~~58.60.~~In some instances where Experian receives illogical responses from  
7 furnishers, Experian partially implements the furnisher's ACDV response on the  
8 consumer's tradeline, but also unilaterally alters the information in the ACDV to  
9 make it appear logical and consistent. In doing so, Experian does not take steps to  
10 ensure that the changed tradeline is in fact complete and accurate; Experian simply  
11 changes the tradeline by applying predetermined business rules. Experian does not,  
12 for example, verify the changes with the furnisher, base the changes on another  
13 reliable source of information, or confront the furnisher with the apparent error.

14 ~~59.61.~~To the detriment of consumers, in all of the above-described  
15 scenarios, Experian routinely does nothing more than send an ACDV to the  
16 furnisher and implement its response.

17 ~~60.62.~~Experian does so without consulting readily available, inexpensive  
18 third-party sources of information that are reliable and trustworthy, such as  
19 bankruptcy court records, that could accurately and definitively resolve the  
20 consumer's dispute. And Experian routinely does not pose any queries to the  
21 furnisher for specific factual information, such as the ~~authenticity of~~accuracy of  
22 representations in a document, the existence of a settlement agreement, or why the  
23 furnisher's response appears to be internally illogical and inconsistent.

24 ~~61.63.~~Experian's failures to conduct reasonable reinvestigations negatively  
25 impact consumers.

26 64. ~~First~~Specifically, Experian's ~~over-exclusive~~ reliance on furnishers'  
27 ACDV responses results in consumer reports maintaining inaccurate information,  
28 such as a tradeline with an inaccurate status or balance.



1        65. For example, Experian's failure to give any weight to the payment  
2 histories already reflected in its consumer files results in accounts inaccurately  
3 reporting as delinquent that should be reporting as settled. Similarly, Experian's  
4 failure to reject illogical and internally inconsistent responses results in accounts  
5 inaccurately reporting that consumers' past due balances are larger than the total  
6 amount consumers owe the furnisher.

7        66. In these and numerous other disputes, Experian accepts and  
8 implements the furnishers' response without any further reinvestigation. Experian  
9 does so despite the fact that conflicting documentary evidence and internal  
10 contradictions reveal the furnishers' ACDV responses to be unreliable. Experian  
11 nevertheless relies exclusively on these ACDV responses and thereby causes  
12 inaccurate information to remain in consumer reports.

13        ~~62.~~67. This inaccurate information ~~can have~~ predictably and routinely has  
14 deleterious impacts on consumers, including lowering their credit score, the denial  
15 of credit, housing, employment, or other goods or services, or causing consumers  
16 to obtain less favorable credit terms.

17        ~~63.~~68. Additionally, consumers waste their time and resources submitting  
18 disputes that are not adequately reinvestigated and gathering and submitting  
19 documents that are given no consideration in the dispute process.

### 20        **Experian Fails to Inform Consumers of Reinvestigation Results**

21        ~~64.~~69. At the conclusion of a reinvestigation, Experian sends consumers  
22 written "results letters" intended to fulfill its statutory obligation to report the  
23 results of the reinvestigation. These results letters often misstate the actual result of  
24 the reinvestigation, convey internally contradictory information about the result, or  
25 simply fail to state any result whatsoever.

26        ~~65.~~70. Experian's results letters include an initial section labeled "How to  
27 Read Your Results" which lists the possible reinvestigation outcomes of "Deleted,"  
28 "Remains," "Updated," and "Processed" and their accompanying definitions.



1 Notably, Experian defines “Updated” four different ways, some of which indicate  
2 information *other* than the disputed information was updated.

3 ~~66~~.71. Below is an example of the relevant portion of an Experian results  
4 letter:

5 **How to Read Your Results**  
6 **How to Read Your Results**

7 Deleted - This item was removed from your credit report. Remains - The company that reported the information has certified to Experian that the  
8 information is accurate. This item was not changed as a result of our processing of your dispute. Updated (Your results will indicate which one of the  
9 following applies.) – a) The information you disputed has been updated. Please review your report for the details. b) The item you disputed has been  
10 updated, which may include an update to the disputed information. Please review your report for the details. c) The information you disputed has been  
11 verified as accurate, however, information unrelated to your dispute has been updated. Please review your report for the details. d) Information on this item  
12 has been updated. Please review your report for the details. Processed - This item was either updated or deleted; Please review your report for the details.

13 ~~67~~.72. Directly below that, Experian lists the disputed accounts and the  
14 reinvestigation outcome for each.

15 ~~68~~.73. On subsequent pages, Experian typically displays a snapshot of how  
16 the disputed account appears before and after the reinvestigation. However, the  
17 “after” snapshot of the account often looks nearly identical to the “before”  
18 snapshot, and the results letter does not explicitly identify what information was  
19 updated or changed. Instead, consumers are required to deduce that on their own.  
20 In cases of deleted tradelines, no snapshots are provided.

69-74. Below is an example of a “before” and “after” snapshot from a letter that informed the consumer that their information was updated. The consumer was left to deduce what information was updated. This snapshot was not accompanied by any explanation of what information changed.

**Before dispute**

Partial Acct # [REDACTED]

**Before dispute**

Partial Acct # [REDACTED]

<b>Date opened</b> Apr 2019	<b>First reported</b> Dec 2019	<b>Recent balance</b> \$1,793 as of Aug 2020	<b>Payment history</b>																																								
<b>Address ID #</b> [REDACTED]	<b>Terms</b> 1 Months	<b>Status</b> Collection account. \$1,793 past due as of Aug 2020. This account is scheduled to continue on record until Aug 2022.	<table border="0"> <tr> <td>Jan</td><td>Feb</td><td>Mar</td><td>Apr</td><td>May</td><td>Jun</td><td>Jul</td><td>Aug</td><td>Sep</td><td>Oct</td><td>Nov</td><td>Dec</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2020</td><td>ND</td><td>C</td><td>C</td><td>C</td><td>C</td><td>C</td><td>C</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td> </tr> </table>	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	2020	ND	C	C	C	C	C	C																				
Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec																																
2020	ND	C	C	C	C	C	C																																				
<b>Original creditor</b> [REDACTED]	<b>Monthly payment</b> Not reported	<b>Comment</b> Account information disputed by consumer (Meets requirement of the Fair Credit Reporting Act). This item was updated from our processing of your dispute in Aug 2020.	<table border="0"> <tr> <td>2019</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>C</td> </tr> </table>	2019											C																												
2019											C																																
<b>Type</b> [REDACTED]	<b>Credit limit or original amount</b> \$1,793	<b>Date of Status</b> Apr 2019	<p><b>Account History *</b> (AB = Account Balance, DPR = Date Payment Received, SPA = Scheduled Payment Amount, AAP = Actual Amount Paid)</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td></td><td>Jul20</td><td>Jun20</td><td>May20</td><td>Apr20</td><td>Mar20</td><td>Feb20</td><td>Dec19</td> </tr> <tr> <td>AB (\$)</td><td>1,793</td><td>1,793</td><td>1,793</td><td>1,793</td><td>1,793</td><td>1,793</td><td>1,793</td> </tr> <tr> <td>DPR</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SPA (\$)</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td> </tr> <tr> <td>AAP (\$)</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td> </tr> </table>		Jul20	Jun20	May20	Apr20	Mar20	Feb20	Dec19	AB (\$)	1,793	1,793	1,793	1,793	1,793	1,793	1,793	DPR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	SPA (\$)	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	AAP (\$)	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Jul20	Jun20	May20	Apr20	Mar20	Feb20	Dec19																																				
AB (\$)	1,793	1,793	1,793	1,793	1,793	1,793	1,793																																				
DPR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND																																				
SPA (\$)	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND																																				
AAP (\$)	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND																																				
<b>Responsibility</b> Individual	<b>High balance</b> Not reported																																										

**After dispute**

Partial Acct # [REDACTED]

**After dispute**

Partial Acct # [REDACTED]

<b>Date opened</b> Apr 2019	<b>First reported</b> Dec 2019	<b>Recent balance</b> \$1,793 as of Sep 2020	<b>Payment history</b>																																													
<b>Address ID #</b> [REDACTED]	<b>Terms</b> 1 Months	<b>Status</b> Collection account. \$1,793 past due as of Sep 2020. This account is scheduled to continue on record until Aug 2022.	<table border="0"> <tr> <td>Jan</td><td>Feb</td><td>Mar</td><td>Apr</td><td>May</td><td>Jun</td><td>Jul</td><td>Aug</td><td>Sep</td><td>Oct</td><td>Nov</td><td>Dec</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2020</td><td>ND</td><td>C</td><td>C</td><td>C</td><td>C</td><td>C</td><td>C</td><td>C</td><td></td><td></td><td></td> </tr> </table>	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	2020	ND	C	C	C	C	C	C	C																								
Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec																																					
2020	ND	C	C	C	C	C	C	C																																								
<b>Original creditor</b> [REDACTED]	<b>Monthly payment</b> Not reported	<b>Comment</b> Account information disputed by consumer (Meets requirement of the Fair Credit Reporting Act). This item was updated from our processing of your dispute in Aug 2020.	<table border="0"> <tr> <td>2019</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>C</td> </tr> </table>	2019											C																																	
2019											C																																					
<b>Type</b> [REDACTED]	<b>Credit limit or original amount</b> \$1,793	<b>Date of Status</b> Apr 2019	<p><b>Account History *</b> (AB = Account Balance, DPR = Date Payment Received, SPA = Scheduled Payment Amount, AAP = Actual Amount Paid)</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td></td><td>Aug20</td><td>Jul20</td><td>Jun20</td><td>May20</td><td>Apr20</td><td>Mar20</td><td>Feb20</td><td>Dec19</td> </tr> <tr> <td>AB (\$)</td><td>1,793</td><td>1,793</td><td>1,793</td><td>1,793</td><td>1,793</td><td>1,793</td><td>1,793</td><td>1,793</td> </tr> <tr> <td>DPR</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SPA (\$)</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td> </tr> <tr> <td>AAP (\$)</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td> </tr> </table>		Aug20	Jul20	Jun20	May20	Apr20	Mar20	Feb20	Dec19	AB (\$)	1,793	1,793	1,793	1,793	1,793	1,793	1,793	1,793	DPR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	SPA (\$)	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	AAP (\$)	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Aug20	Jul20	Jun20	May20	Apr20	Mar20	Feb20	Dec19																																								
AB (\$)	1,793	1,793	1,793	1,793	1,793	1,793	1,793	1,793																																								
DPR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND																																								
SPA (\$)	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND																																								
AAP (\$)	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND																																								
<b>Responsibility</b> Individual	<b>High balance</b> Not reported																																															

The original amount of this account was \$1,793

70-75. Experian’s results letters often provide inaccurate, contradictory, ambiguous, or confusing information to consumers regarding the outcome of their

reinvestigation, and fail to inform them of what, if anything, changed on their consumer report as a result of the dispute.

74.76. For example, a number of Experian results letters state the result of a reinvestigation as “Outcome: Processed – This item was either updated or deleted; Please review your report for the details.”

72.77. Although a typical results letter includes the before and after snapshots of an updated tradeline, these letters only display how the tradeline appears *before* the dispute.

73.78. In addition, these results letters use three of the four potential dispute outcomes to describe the results of the investigation (processed, updated, and deleted), including two potentially inconsistent outcomes— updated and deleted.

74.79. Below is an example of the relevant portion of an Experian results letter:

Here are your results

Credit items

Outcome: Processed - This item was either updated or deleted. Please review your report for the details.

Before dispute

Report #

Partial Acct #

<b>Date opened</b> May 2018	<b>First reported</b> Aug 2018	<b>Recent balance</b> \$6,394 as of Sep 2020	<b>Payment history</b>												
<b>Address ID #</b>	<b>Terms</b> 1 Months	<b>Status</b> Collection account, \$6,394 past due as of Sep 2020.	2020	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
<b>Original creditor</b>	<b>Monthly payment</b> Not reported	This account is scheduled to continue on record until Jan 2025.	2019	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C
<b>Type</b>	<b>Credit limit or original amount</b> \$6,394	<b>Comment</b> Completed investigation of FCRA dispute - consumer disagrees. This item was updated from our processing of your dispute in Sep 2020.	2018								C	C	C	C	C
<b>Responsibility</b>	<b>High balance</b> Not reported	<b>Date of Status</b> May 2018													

**Account History \*** (AB = Account Balance, DPR = Date Payment Received, SPA = Scheduled Payment Amount, AAP = Actual Amount Paid)

	Aug20	Jul20	Jun20	May20	Apr20	Mar20	Feb20	Jan20	Dec19	Nov19	Oct19	Oct19
AB (\$)	6,394	6,394	6,394	6,394	6,394	6,394	6,394	6,394	6,394	6,394	6,394	6,394
DPR	Aug20	Aug20	Aug20	Aug20	Aug20	Aug20	Aug20	Aug20	Aug20	Aug20	Aug20	Aug20
SPA (\$)	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
AAP (\$)	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND

\*The original amount of this account was \$6,394

75.80. These results letters do not provide the result of the reinvestigation. They are confusing and contradictory, and do not adequately inform consumers of the outcome of the reinvestigation. The letters do not identify what information

was updated or deleted or even provide an updated credit report or an “after” snapshot for the consumer to attempt to self-identify the update or deletion. They also fail to clearly identify whether information was updated, or deleted, or both.

76.81. Another subset of Experian results letters pairs a reinvestigation result of “Outcome: Updated” with before and after snapshots of the tradeline *that show no change*.

77.82. Below is an example of the relevant portion of an Experian results letter:

Here are your results

Credit items  
Here are your results

Credit items

Outcome: Updated - Information on this item has been updated. Please review your report for the details.

#### Dispute Results (Continued)

##### Before Dispute

[Redacted] Partial Acct # [Redacted]		Status (Sep 2020) Open													
[Redacted] No phone # available															
Date opened Mar 2017	Terms 1 Months	Recent balance \$795 as of Aug 2021	Payment history: Aug 2020 - Aug 2021												
Address ID # [Redacted]	Monthly payment \$795	By May 2027, this account is scheduled to go to a positive status.	JAN 2021	FEB 2021	MAR 2021	APR 2021	MAY 2021	JUN 2021	JUL 2021	AUG 2021	SEP 2021	OCT 2021	NOV 2021	DEC 2021	
Type [Redacted]	Credit limit or original amount Not reported	Comment: Payroll deduction.	ND	OK	ND	OK	ND	OK	ND	OK	C	OK	ND	ND	OK
Responsibility Individual	High balance Not reported	This item was updated from our processing of your dispute in Aug 2021.													
Account Balance	Aug21	Apr21	Feb21	Dec20	Oct20	Aug20	Jun20	Apr20	Feb20	Dec19	Oct19				
Date Payment Received	\$1,248	\$3,512	\$4,719	\$6,078	\$6,681	\$6,679	\$8,174	\$8,719	\$7,460	\$7,408	\$7,507				
Scheduled Payment Amount	06.19.21	04.16.21	02.19.21	12.16.20	09.18.20	08.10.20	05.05.20	04.13.20	02.03.20	12.17.19	10.15.19				
Actual Amount Paid	\$2,154	\$3,512	\$4,719	\$6,078	\$554	No Data	No Data	\$554	\$554	\$554	\$554				
	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data				

  

[Redacted] No phone # available		Payment history: Aug 2020 - Aug 2021													
[Redacted] No phone # available															
Date opened Mar 2017	Terms 1 Months	Recent balance \$795 as of Aug 2021	Payment history: Aug 2020 - Aug 2021												
Address ID # [Redacted]	Monthly payment \$795	By May 2027, this account is scheduled to go to a positive status.	JAN 2021	FEB 2021	MAR 2021	APR 2021	MAY 2021	JUN 2021	JUL 2021	AUG 2021	SEP 2021	OCT 2021	NOV 2021	DEC 2021	
Type [Redacted]	Credit limit or original amount Not reported	Comment: Payroll deduction.	ND	OK	ND	OK	ND	OK	ND	OK	C	OK	ND	ND	OK
Responsibility Individual	High balance Not reported	This item was updated from our processing of your dispute in Aug 2021.													
Account Balance	Aug21	Apr21	Feb21	Dec20	Oct20	Aug20	Jun20	Apr20	Feb20	Dec19	Oct19				
Date Payment Received	\$1,248	\$3,512	\$4,719	\$6,078	\$6,681	\$6,679	\$8,174	\$8,719	\$7,460	\$7,408	\$7,507				
Scheduled Payment Amount	06.19.21	04.16.21	02.19.21	12.16.20	09.18.20	08.10.20	05.05.20	04.13.20	02.03.20	12.17.19	10.15.19				
Actual Amount Paid	\$2,154	\$3,512	\$4,719	\$6,078	\$554	No Data	No Data	\$554	\$554	\$554	\$554				
	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data				

# After Dispute

Partial Acct # [REDACTED] Status (Sep 2020) Open  
No phone # available

<b>Date opened</b> Mar 2017	<b>Terms</b> 1 Months	<b>Recent balance</b> \$795 as of Aug 2021	<b>Payment history: Aug 2020 - Aug 2021</b>											
<b>Address ID #</b> [REDACTED]	<b>Monthly payment</b> \$795	By May 2027, this account is scheduled to go to a positive status. <b>Comment:</b> Payroll deduction. This item was updated from our processing of your dispute in Aug 2021.	JAN 2021	FEB 2021	MAR 2021	APR 2021	MAY 2021	JUN 2021	JUL 2021	AUG 2021	SEP 2021	OCT 2021	NOV 2021	DEC 2021
<b>Type</b> [REDACTED]	<b>Credit limit or original amount</b> Not reported		ND	OK	ND	OK	ND	OK	ND	OK	C	OK	ND	ND
<b>Responsibility</b> Individual	<b>High balance</b> Not reported													

	Aug21	Apr21	Feb21	Dec20	Oct20	Aug20	Jun20	Apr20	Feb20	Dec19	Oct19
Account Balance	\$1,246	\$3,512	\$4,719	\$6,078	\$8,681	\$8,679	\$8,174	\$8,719	\$7,460	\$7,408	\$7,507
Date Payment Received	06.18.21	04.16.21	02.19.21	12.16.20	09.18.20	06.10.20	05.05.20	04.13.20	02.03.20	12.17.19	10.15.19
Scheduled Payment Amount	\$2,154	\$3,512	\$4,719	\$6,078	\$554	No Data	No Data	\$554	\$554	\$554	\$554
Actual Amount Paid	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data

~~80-83~~. This subset of results letters fails to describe the results of the reinvestigation to the consumer. They do not identify what information was updated and they do not depict any updates in the accompanying tradeline snapshots. Consumers receiving such a notice have no way of deciphering what information was updated and why, or whether the disputed information itself was updated. Consumers also cannot determine if the update was the result of the reinvestigation, or due to some other reason.

~~81-84~~. A third subset of Experian's results letters describe the outcome of a reinvestigation as "Updated" and provided the following definition: "Information on this item has been updated. Please review your report for the details."



82.85. Below is an example of the relevant portion of an Experian results letter:

Here are your results

Here are your results

Credit items

Outcome: Updated - Information on this item has been updated. Please review your report for the details.

Before dispute

Partial Acct #		Report #												
Date opened Feb 2016 Address ID # Type Responsibility	First reported Apr 2018 Terms 75 Months Monthly payment \$547 Credit limit or original amount \$19,821 High balance Not reported Recent payment \$547	Recent balance \$12,181 as of Sep 2020 Status Open. By Jan 2025, this account is scheduled to go to a positive status. This item was updated from our processing of your dispute in May 2020. Date of Status Mar 2020	Payment history											
			Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
			2020	30	30	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK			
			2019	60	60	60	60	30	30	30	30	60	60	30
			2018				30	30	30	30	30	30	60	30

After dispute

Partial Acct		Report #												
Date opened Feb 2016	First reported Apr 2018	Recent balance \$11,329 as of Oct 2020	Payment history											
Address ID #	Terms 75 Months	Status Open.	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Type	Monthly payment \$547	By Jan 2025, this account is scheduled to go to a positive status.	2020	30	30	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
Responsibility	Credit limit or original amount \$19,821	This item was updated from our processing of your dispute in May 2020.	2019	60	60	60	60	30	30	30	30	60	60	30
	High balance Not reported	Date of Status Mar 2020	2018				30	30	30	30	30	30	60	30
	Recent payment \$1,094													

83.86. These letters fail to convey the outcome of the reinvestigation to consumers, because they do not identify what, if anything, has changed regarding the disputed information. It is unclear from these results letters whether the disputed information remained, but other information changed, or whether the disputed information itself was updated. It is also unclear whether the updated information changed as a result of the reinvestigation or some other reason.

### Experian Fails to Prevent New Furnishers From Reinserting Information Deleted as the Result of a Dispute

~~The Experian's Problematic Dispute Resolution Processes Resulted in Experian Failing to Initiate Reinvestigations into Certain Disputes and Caused Other Errors in Consumers' Files~~

1 ~~85. Experian failed to properly initiate reinvestigations in certain~~  
2 ~~circumstances. These failures include at least three discrete types of conduct,~~  
3 ~~including failure to forward disputes within the timeline required by the FCRA,~~  
4 ~~simply deleting tradelines without any reinvestigation and then, on occasion,~~  
5 ~~subsequently reinserting that information, and failing to~~ **reinvestigate hard inquiry**  
6 **disputes.**

7  
8 ~~86.1. First, between January 2018 and October 2021, Experian failed to~~  
9 ~~forward more than 2 million disputes to furnishers within five business days, as~~  
10 ~~required by the FCRA.~~

11 ~~87.1. Second, between February 2019 and February 2020, Experian deleted~~  
12 ~~more than 100,000 disputed tradelines instead of initiating and completing a~~  
13 ~~reinvestigation within 30 days, as required by the FCRA. Then, sometime after the~~  
14 ~~30-day window, Experian processed the disputes and reinserted some of the~~  
15 ~~deleted information.~~

16 ~~88.1. **Third,** Experian routinely fails to reinvestigate when a consumer~~  
17 ~~makes a dispute about a hard inquiry.~~

18 ~~89.1. A “hard inquiry” is a notation that a creditor or entity has requested to~~  
19 ~~access a consumer’s file. The appearance of a hard inquiry on a consumer’s file~~  
20 ~~indicates that a consumer applied for credit. A hard inquiry often impacts a~~  
21 ~~consumer’s credit score.~~

22 ~~90.1. A dispute about a hard inquiry may assert that the creditor or entity~~  
23 ~~lacked a permissible purpose for accessing the consumer report and therefore the~~  
24 ~~inquiry should not be reflected on the consumer report. In situations where the~~  
25 ~~consumer did not apply for credit, but a hard inquiry is reflected on their consumer~~  
26 ~~report, the accuracy of their consumer report is distorted because it falsely appears~~  
27 ~~that the consumer applied for credit.~~  
28

~~91.1. As a matter of policy, Experian does not investigate hard inquiry disputes unless the consumer's allegation meets narrow criteria, such as an allegation that the inquiry was a byproduct of fraud or identity theft.~~

~~92.1. Absent such allegations, Experian will not conduct a reinvestigation and instead simply sends a notice to the consumer explaining the nature of inquiries and inviting consumers to provide additional information, such as allegations of identity theft or fraud, to support the dispute.~~

~~93.1. As a result, the hard inquiry remains on the individual's consumer report, even if the inquiry was not permissible and even if the consumer did not actually apply for the account.~~

~~94. In addition to failing to initiate reinvestigations, Experian's faulty processes also caused other errors in consumers' files.~~

~~95.87.~~ For example, the FCRA requires Experian to maintain reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible accuracy of the credit information it reports, and to prevent reinsertion of previously deleted information. 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681i(a)(5)(C), 1681e(b).

~~96.88.~~ "Reinsertion" occurs when information in a consumer's file that was deleted because it was found to be inaccurate, incomplete, or unverifiable during a reinvestigation reappears in the consumer's file. 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(5).

~~97.89.~~ Experian's procedures for preventing reinsertions are limited and only prevent the same furnisher from re-furnishing a previously deleted tradeline.

~~98.90.~~ These procedures do not prevent a new furnisher from reinserting a previously deleted tradeline. Reinsertion may occur, for example, when a furnisher deletes a disputed tradeline as a result of a dispute and then sells the account to a debt buyer that re-furnishes it.

~~99.91.~~ Experian has failed to implement basic matching tools that prevent or greatly reduce the likelihood of reinsertion by a new furnisher of a previously deleted tradeline.



1 ~~100.~~92. For example, Experian has not implemented procedures to  
2 compare dates of first delinquencies, recent credit balance amounts, high credit  
3 balances, or the names of original creditors, to ascertain whether a newly reported  
4 tradeline constitutes a reinsertion.

5 ~~101.~~93. When Experian allows new furnishers to reinsert previously  
6 deleted information, Experian does not require the furnishers to certify that the  
7 information is complete and accurate. Experian also does not notify consumers  
8 within five business days that the previously deleted information was reinserted,  
9 provide the identity and contact information of furnishers contacted in connection  
10 with the reinsertion, or inform consumers of their right to add a statement to their  
11 consumer file disputing the accuracy or completeness of the disputed information.

12 ~~102.~~94. As a result, consumers who have disputed the accuracy of an  
13 account and thought that their consumer report had been corrected, instead see the  
14 same inaccurate information reappear on their consumer report without explanation  
15 under the name of a new furnisher.

16 ~~103.—Additionally, the FCRA requires Experian to remove any information~~  
17 ~~that is found to be inaccurate as a result of a reinvestigation. 15 U.S.C. §~~  
18 ~~1681i(a)(5).~~

19 **BetweenExperian Refuses to Reinvestigate Certain Disputes Entirely**

20 95. Experian routinely fails to reinvestigate when a consumer makes a  
21 dispute about a hard inquiry.

22 96. A “hard inquiry” is a notation that a creditor or entity has requested to  
23 access a consumer’s file. The appearance of a hard inquiry on a consumer’s file  
24 indicates that a consumer applied for credit. A hard inquiry often impacts a  
25 consumer’s credit score.

26 97. A dispute about a hard inquiry may assert that the creditor or entity  
27 lacked a permissible purpose for accessing the consumer report and therefore the  
28 inquiry should not be reflected on the consumer report. In situations where the

1 consumer did not apply for credit, but a hard inquiry is reflected on their consumer  
2 report, the accuracy of their consumer report is distorted because it falsely appears  
3 that the consumer applied for credit.

4 98. As a matter of policy, Experian does not investigate hard inquiry  
5 disputes unless the consumer's allegation meets narrow criteria, such as an  
6 allegation that the inquiry was a byproduct of fraud or identity theft.

7 99. Absent such allegations, Experian will not conduct a reinvestigation  
8 and instead simply sends a notice to the consumer explaining the nature of  
9 inquiries and inviting consumers to provide additional information, such as  
10 allegations of identity theft or fraud, to support the dispute.

11 100. As a result, the hard inquiry remains on the individual's consumer  
12 report, even if the inquiry was not permissible and even if the consumer did not  
13 actually apply for the account.

14  
15 **Experian's Problematic Dispute Resolution Processes Resulted in Experian**  
16 **Failing to Initiate Reinvestigations into Certain Disputes and Caused Other**  
17 **Errors in Consumers' Files**

18 101. Experian failed to properly initiate reinvestigations in certain  
19 circumstances. These failures include at least three discrete types of conduct,  
20 including failure to forward disputes within the timeline required by the FCRA,  
21 simply deleting tradelines without any reinvestigation and then, on occasion,  
22 subsequently reinserting that information, and failing to [delete information](#)  
23 [inaccurately reflecting joint user status on certain accounts.](#)

24 102. First, between January 2018 and October 2021, Experian failed to  
25 forward more than 2 million disputes to furnishers within five business days, as  
26 required by the FCRA.

27 103. Second, between February 2019 and February 2020, Experian deleted  
28 more than 100,000 disputed tradelines instead of initiating and completing a  
reinvestigation within 30 days, as required by the FCRA. Then, sometime after the

1 30-day window, Experian processed the disputes and reinserted some of the  
2 deleted information.

3 104. Third, between June 2020 and December 2020, furnishers identified  
4 many accounts that inaccurately reflected joint user status. -However, Experian's  
5 automated systems rejected certain furnishers' attempts to remove a joint user from  
6 an account in response to a dispute.

7 105. Although these disputes triggered manual review by Experian agents,  
8 the agents still did not remove the joint user status.

9 106. These errors resulted in more than 1,700 consumer files inaccurately  
10 continuing to reflect joint user status.

11 107. The Bureau did not possess facts sufficient to establish the violations  
12 described in paragraphs 101-106 and did not discover those violations prior to the  
13 Bureau's supervisory examination of Experian that commenced on February 1,  
14 2021.

15 108. On July 26 and July 29, 2024, Experian and the Bureau executed a  
16 tolling agreement. This was the parties' fourth tolling agreement (the "Fourth  
17 Tolling Agreement") and replaced and superseded the parties' first, second, and  
18 third tolling agreements that the parties executed on January 27, 2022, June 13,  
19 2022, and February 13, 2023, respectively.

20 109. The Fourth Tolling Agreement provides that:

21 On October 29, 2021, the Bureau notified Experian that the Bureau was  
22 conducting an investigation to determine whether there were violations of  
23 Sections 605B, 607, and 611 of the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C.  
24 §§ 1681c-2, 1681e, 1681i ("the Bureau's Investigation") ...

25 The running of any applicable unexpired statute of limitations for any cause  
26 of action or related claim or remedy that could be brought against Experian  
27 by the Bureau arising from the Bureau's Investigation shall be suspended  
28 (1) from December 3, 2021 through and including January 31, 2023 and  
(2) from July 26, 2024 through and including December 1, 2024 (the  
"Tolling Periods"). In the event Experian raises or asserts a statute of  
limitations defense, or any other defense based on delay or the passage of  
time, the Parties hereby expressly agree that the Tolling Periods are

excluded for purposes of calculating the statute of limitations or delay period.

## FCRA VIOLATIONS

### **COUNT I**

#### **Failure to Forward Disputes in Violation of the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(2)(A)**

~~107.~~ The allegations in paragraphs 1 through ~~103~~ are incorporated herein by reference.

~~108.1. COUNT I After receiving notice that a consumer disputes any item of information contained in his or her consumer file, a CRA has five business days to notify the furnisher of the information disputed by the consumer. 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(2)(A).~~

~~108.1. Between January 2018 and October 2021, Experian did not forward more than 2 million disputes to furnishers within five business days.~~

~~108.1. Therefore, Experian violated the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(2)(A).~~

### **COUNT II**

#### **Failure to Conduct a Reasonable Reinvestigation and Failure to Provide Furnishers with All Relevant Information in Violation of the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(1)(A), (2)**

~~109.~~ 110. The allegations in paragraphs 1 through ~~103~~ 109 are incorporated herein by reference.

~~110.~~ 111. The FCRA requires a CRA to conduct a reasonable reinvestigation and to notify and provide all relevant information regarding a dispute that it received from the consumer to the furnisher of the disputed information. 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(1)(A), (2).

~~111.~~ 112. Experian does not conduct a reasonable reinvestigation or convey to furnishers all relevant information it receives from consumers when it

1 incorrectly applies generic dispute codes despite more specific codes being  
2 available, uses dispute codes that inaccurately describe consumers' disputes, and  
3 applies dispute codes requesting updates that are already reflected on consumers'  
4 tradelines.

5 ~~112.~~ 113. Experian does not conduct a reasonable reinvestigation or  
6 convey to furnishers all relevant information it receives from consumers when it  
7 fails to forward relevant consumer documentation.

8 ~~113.~~ 114. Therefore, Experian's actions violated and continue to violate  
9 the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(1)(A), (2).

10 115. ~~COUNT III~~ This claim arises from the Bureau's Investigation and any  
11 applicable statute of limitations for this claim was suspended (1) from December 3,  
12 2021 through and including January 31, 2023 and (2) from July 26, 2024 through  
13 and including December 1, 2024, pursuant to the Fourth Tolling Agreement.

14 **COUNT II**  
15 **Failure to Conduct a Reasonable Reinvestigation by Exclusively Relying on a**  
16 **Furnisher's ACDV Response in Violation of the FCRA, 15 U.S.C.**  
17 **§ 1681i(a)(1)(A)**

18 116. The allegations in paragraphs 1 through 109 are incorporated herein  
19 by reference.

20 117. Whenever a consumer disputes the completeness or accuracy of any  
21 item contained in his or her consumer file, a CRA is required to "conduct a  
22 reasonable reinvestigation to determine whether the disputed information is  
23 inaccurate, and record the current status of the disputed information, or delete the  
24 item from the file in accordance with paragraph (5)." 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(1)(A).

25 118. Rather than undertaking a reasonable reinvestigation, Experian  
26 unreasonably relies on furnishers' ACDV responses in at least three categories of  
27 disputes: (1) disputes where the consumer provided information that alerted or  
28 should have alerted Experian to the possibility that the furnisher might be  
unreliable, (2) disputes where Experian already had information that alerted or

1 should have alerted Experian to the possibility that the furnisher might be  
2 unreliable and (3) disputes where Experian received illogical and inconsistent  
3 ACDV responses from furnishers that alerted or should have alerted Experian to  
4 the possibility that the furnisher might be unreliable. In each of these instances,  
5 Experian had affirmative notice that the information received from the furnisher  
6 may be suspect.

7 119. In such disputes, Experian possesses information that the disputed  
8 consumer data is inaccurate, and thus the original source of the disputed data –  
9 namely, the furnisher – is unreliable. Nevertheless, Experian uncritically accepts  
10 and implements furnishers' ACDV responses.

11 120. When Experian's reinvestigation consists solely of sending an ACDV  
12 to a furnisher and implementing the furnisher's response despite (1) having or  
13 receiving evidence of that furnisher's unreliability, and (2) the existence of readily  
14 available, cost-effective additional investigative measures, Experian fails to  
15 conduct a reasonable reinvestigation.

16 121. Therefore, Experian's actions violated and continue to violate the  
17 FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(1)(A).

18 122. This claim arises from the Bureau's Investigation and any applicable  
19 statute of limitations for this claim was suspended (1) from December 3, 2021  
20 through and including January 31, 2023 and (2) from July 26, 2024 through and  
21 including December 1, 2024, pursuant to the Fourth Tolling Agreement.

22  
23 **COUNT III**  
24 **Failure to Provide Notice of the Results of the Reinvestigation in Violation of**  
25 **the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(6)(A)**

26 123. The allegations in paragraphs 1 through 109 are incorporated herein  
27 by reference.

28 124. The FCRA requires a CRA to provide written notice to a consumer of  
the results of a reinvestigation. 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(6)(A).

1 125. Experian's notices routinely fail to disclose the results of a  
2 reinvestigation because the notices state two contradictory results, are incomplete,  
3 or are unintelligible.

4 126. Therefore, Experian's actions violated and continue to violate the  
5 FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(6)(A).

6 127. This claim arises from the Bureau's Investigation and any applicable  
7 statute of limitations for this claim was suspended (1) from December 3, 2021  
8 through and including January 31, 2023 and (2) from July 26, 2024 through and  
9 including December 1, 2024, pursuant to the Fourth Tolling Agreement.

10 **COUNT IV**

11 **Failure to Reinvestigate Disputes About Hard Inquiries in Violation of the**  
12 **FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(1)(A), (2)**

13 ~~114.~~128. The allegations in paragraphs 1 through ~~103~~109 are  
14 incorporated herein by reference.

15 ~~115.~~129. Whenever a consumer disputes the completeness or accuracy of  
16 any item contained in his or her consumer file, a CRA is required to "conduct a  
17 reasonable reinvestigation to determine whether the disputed information is  
18 inaccurate." 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(1)(A).

19 ~~116.~~130. After receiving notice that a consumer disputes any item of  
20 information contained in his or her consumer file, a CRA has five business days to  
21 notify the furnisher of the information disputed by the consumer and provide all  
22 relevant information regarding the dispute. 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(2).

23 ~~117.~~131. Experian only investigates hard inquiry disputes in limited  
24 circumstances, such as when the consumer alleges that the inquiry was a byproduct  
25 of fraud or identify theft. If a consumer disputes the accuracy of a hard inquiry  
26 without such allegations, however, Experian does not reinvestigate the dispute.

27 ~~118.~~132. By not conducting a reasonable reinvestigation after consumers  
28 dispute the accuracy of a hard inquiry, which is an item of information in their



1 consumer files, Experian violated and continues to violate the FCRA, 15 U.S.C.  
2 § 1681i(a)(1)(A).

3 ~~119.133.~~ By not informing the furnisher of a consumer's hard inquiry  
4 dispute, Experian violated and continues to violate the FCRA, 15 U.S.C.  
5 § 1681i(a)(2).

6 134. ~~COUNT IV~~ This claim arises from the Bureau's Investigation and any  
7 applicable statute of limitations for this claim was suspended (1) from December 3,  
8 2021 through and including January 31, 2023 and (2) from July 26, 2024 through  
9 and including December 1, 2024, pursuant to the Fourth Tolling Agreement.

10 **COUNT V**  
11 **Failure to Forward Disputes**  
12 **in Violation of the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(2)(A)**

13 135. The allegations in paragraphs 1 through 109 are incorporated herein  
14 by reference.

15 136. After receiving notice that a consumer disputes any item of  
16 information contained in his or her consumer file, a CRA has five business days to  
17 notify the furnisher of the information disputed by the consumer. 15 U.S.C.  
18 § 1681i(a)(2)(A).

19 137. Between January 2018 and October 2021, Experian did not forward  
20 more than 2 million disputes to furnishers within five business days.

21 138. Therefore, Experian violated the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(2)(A).

22 139. This claim arises from the Bureau's Investigation and any applicable  
23 statute of limitations for this claim was suspended (1) from December 3, 2021  
24 through and including January 31, 2023 and (2) from July 26, 2024 through and  
25 including December 1, 2024, pursuant to the Fourth Tolling Agreement.

26 **COUNT VI**  
27 **Deleting Tradelines Without an Investigation in Violation of the FCRA, 15**  
28 **U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(1)(A)**

~~120.140.~~ The allegations in paragraphs 1 through ~~103~~109 are  
incorporated herein by reference.



1 ~~121.~~141. Whenever a consumer disputes the completeness or accuracy of  
2 any item contained in his or her consumer file, a CRA is required to “conduct a  
3 reasonable reinvestigation to determine whether the disputed information is  
4 inaccurate, and record the current status of the disputed information, or delete the  
5 item from the file in accordance with paragraph (5).” 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(1)(A).

6 ~~122.~~142. On more than 100,000 occasions, Experian received a dispute  
7 and failed to complete a reinvestigation within 30 days.

8 ~~123.~~143. Therefore, Experian violated the FCRA, 15 U.S.C.  
9 § 1681i(a)(1)(A).

10 **COUNT V**  
11 **~~Unreasonable Over-Reliance on a Furnisher’s ACDV Response in Violation of~~**  
12 **~~the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(1)(A)~~**

13 ~~125. The allegations in paragraphs 1 through 103 are incorporated herein~~  
14 ~~by reference.~~

15 144. This claim arises from the Bureau’s Investigation and any applicable  
16 statute of limitations for this claim was suspended (1) from December 3, 2021  
17 through and including January 31, 2023 and (2) from July 26, 2024 through and  
18 including December 1, 2024, pursuant to the Fourth Tolling Agreement.

19 ~~1. COUNT VII Whenever a consumer disputes the completeness or~~  
20 ~~accuracy of any item contained in his or her consumer file, a CRA is required to~~  
21 ~~“conduct a reasonable reinvestigation to determine whether the disputed~~  
22 ~~information is inaccurate, and record the current status of the disputed information,~~  
23 ~~or delete the item from the file in accordance with paragraph (5).” 15 U.S.C.~~  
24 ~~§ 1681i(a)(1)(A).~~

25 ~~126.1. Rather than undertaking a reasonable reinvestigation, Experian~~  
26 ~~unreasonably relies on furnishers’ ACDV responses in at least three categories of~~  
27 ~~disputes: (1) disputes where the consumer provided information that alerted or~~  
28 ~~should have alerted Experian to the possibility that the furnisher might be~~  
~~unreliable, (2) disputes where Experian already had information that alerted or~~

~~should have alerted Experian to the possibility that the furnisher might be unreliable and (3) disputes where Experian received illogical and inconsistent ACDV responses from furnishers that alerted or should have alerted Experian to the possibility that the furnisher might be unreliable. In each of these instances, Experian had affirmative notice that the information received from the furnisher may be suspect.~~

~~126.1. In such disputes, Experian possesses information that the disputed consumer data is inaccurate, and thus the original source of the disputed data—namely, the furnisher—is unreliable. Nevertheless, Experian uncritically accepts and implements furnishers’ ACDV responses.~~

~~126.1. When Experian’s reinvestigation consists solely of sending an ACDV to a furnisher and implementing the furnisher’s response despite (1) having or receiving evidence of that furnisher’s unreliability, and (2) the existence of readily available, cost-effective additional investigative measures, Experian fails to conduct a reasonable reinvestigation.~~

~~126.1. Therefore, Experian’s actions violated and continue to violate the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(1)(A).~~

## **COUNT VI**

### **Failure to Delete Inaccurate, Incomplete, or Unverified Information in Violation of the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(5)(A)**

~~127.145.~~ 145. The allegations in paragraphs 1 through ~~103~~ 109 are incorporated herein by reference.

~~128.146.~~ 146. The FCRA requires a CRA to delete any information found to be inaccurate, incomplete, or unverified after a reinvestigation. 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(5)(A).

~~129.147.~~ 147. Experian did not delete more than 1,700 erroneous “joint user” statuses from consumers’ files despite the fact that the furnishers identified those statuses as inaccurate and requested their deletion.

1 ~~130.~~148. Therefore, Experian violated the FCRA, 15 U.S.C.  
2 § ~~1681i(a)(5)(A)~~.

3 **COUNT VII**  
4 **~~Failure to Provide Notice of the Results of the Reinvestigation in Violation of~~**  
5 **~~the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(6)(A)~~**

6 ~~132. The allegations in paragraphs 1 through 103 are incorporated herein~~  
7 ~~by reference.~~

8 149. This claim arises from the Bureau's Investigation and any applicable  
9 statute of limitations for this claim was suspended (1) from December 3, 2021  
10 through and including January 31, 2023 and (2) from July 26, 2024 through and  
11 including December 1, 2024, pursuant to the Fourth Tolling Agreement

12 ~~133.1. The FCRA requires a CRA to provide written notice to a consumer of~~  
13 ~~the results of a reinvestigation. 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(6)(A).~~

14 ~~133.1. Experian's notices routinely fail to disclose the results of a~~  
15 ~~reinvestigation because the notices state two contradictory results, are incomplete,~~  
16 ~~or are unintelligible.~~

17 ~~133.1. Therefore, Experian's actions violated and continue to violate the~~  
18 ~~FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(6)(A).~~

19 **COUNT VIII**  
20 **Failure to Maintain Reasonable Procedures Designed to Prevent Reinsertion**  
21 **and Unlawful Reinsertion of Previously Deleted Information in Violation of**  
22 **the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(5)(B), (C)**

23 ~~134.~~150. The allegations in paragraphs 1 through ~~103~~109 are  
24 incorporated herein by reference.

25 ~~135.~~151. Under the FCRA, "[i]f any information is deleted from a  
26 consumer's file pursuant to [§ 1681i(a)(5)(A)], the information may not be  
27 reinserted in the file by the consumer reporting agency unless the person who  
28 furnishes the information certifies that the information is complete and accurate ...  
." 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(5)(B)(i). The CRA must then "notify the consumer of the  
reinsertion . . . not later than 5 business days after the reinsertion . . .," 15 U.S.C.

1 § 1681i(a)(5)(B)(ii), and “provide to a consumer in writing not later than 5  
2 business days after the date of reinsertion (I) a statement that the disputed  
3 information has been reinserted; (II) the business name and address of any  
4 furnisher of information contacted and the telephone number of such furnisher, if  
5 reasonably available, or of any furnisher of information that contacted the  
6 consumer reporting agency, in connection with the reinsertion of such information;  
7 and (III) a notice that the consumer has the right to add a statement to the  
8 consumer’s file disputing the accuracy or completeness of the disputed  
9 information.” 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(5)(B)(iii).

10 ~~136.~~152. Consumer reporting agencies are further required to maintain  
11 reasonable procedures to prevent reinsertion of information previously deleted as  
12 the result of a dispute. 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(5)(C).

13 ~~137.~~153. Experian’s procedures for preventing reinsertion are limited to  
14 preventing the same furnisher from reinserting a tradeline that had been previously  
15 deleted. The procedures do not prevent a different furnisher from reinserting that  
16 same tradeline.

17 ~~138.~~154. Because Experian does not prevent new furnishers from  
18 reinserting tradelines that Experian had deleted in response to a consumer dispute,  
19 Experian fails to maintain reasonable procedures to prevent reinsertion of  
20 previously deleted information.

21 ~~139.~~155. Experian also reinserts information into consumers’ files  
22 without obtaining a furnisher certification and without notifying consumers within  
23 five business days or otherwise adhering to the requirements of 15 U.S.C.  
24 § 1681i(a)(5)(B).

25 ~~140.~~156. Therefore, Experian’s actions violated and continue to violate  
26 the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(5)(B), (C).

27 157. This claim arises from the Bureau’s Investigation and any applicable  
28 statute of limitations for this claim was suspended (1) from December 3, 2021

through and including January 31, 2023 and (2) from July 26, 2024 through and including December 1, 2024, pursuant to the Fourth Tolling Agreement.

### COUNT IX

#### **Failure to Implement and Follow Reasonable Procedures to Assure Maximum Possible Accuracy in Violation of the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681e(b)**

~~141.~~158. The allegations in paragraphs 1 through ~~103~~109 are incorporated herein by reference.

~~142.~~159. The FCRA requires a CRA to follow reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible accuracy of the credit information they report on consumers. 15 U.S.C. § 1681e(b).

~~143.~~160. Because Experian does not prevent new furnishers from reinserting tradelines that Experian had previously deleted in response to a consumer dispute, Experian fails to follow reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible accuracy of the credit information it reports on consumers.

~~144.~~161. Therefore, Experian's actions violated and continue to violate the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681e(b).

162. This claim arises from the Bureau's Investigation and any applicable statute of limitations for this claim was suspended (1) from December 3, 2021 through and including January 31, 2023 and (2) from July 26, 2024 through and including December 1, 2024, pursuant to the Fourth Tolling Agreement.

### CFPA VIOLATIONS

~~145.~~163. The CFPA prohibits covered persons from offering or providing to a consumer any financial product or service not in conformity with Federal consumer financial law, otherwise committing any act or omission in violation of a Federal consumer financial law, or engaging in any unfair, deceptive, or abusive act or practice. 12 U.S.C. §§ 5531(a), 5536(a)(1).

~~146.~~164. Under the CFPA, an act or practice is unfair when it "causes or is likely to cause substantial injury to consumers which is not reasonably avoidable

1 by consumers; and ... such substantial injury is not outweighed by countervailing  
2 benefits to consumers or to competition.” 12 U.S.C. § 5531(c)(1).

3 **COUNT X**

4 **Unfair Acts or Practices: ~~Failing to Convey Consumers’ Disputes to~~**  
5 **~~Furnishers Fully and Accurately~~**

6 ~~146.—The allegations in paragraphs 1 through 103 are incorporated herein~~  
7 ~~by reference.~~

8 ~~146.—When communicating with furnishers, Experian distorts, truncates,~~  
9 ~~and mischaracterizes consumers’ disputes by applying dispute codes that~~  
10 ~~inaccurately describe consumers’ disputes, incorrectly using generic dispute codes~~  
11 ~~despite more specific codes being available, applying dispute codes requesting~~  
12 ~~updates that are already reflected on consumers’ tradelines, and failing to forward~~  
13 ~~relevant consumer documentation.~~

14 ~~146.—Experian commits unfair acts and practices by failing to convey~~  
15 ~~consumers’ disputes to furnishers in an accurate and complete fashion.~~

16 ~~146.—Experian’s conduct is likely to cause substantial injury because it fails~~  
17 ~~to accurately convey the nature of disputes to furnishers, which prevents furnishers~~  
18 ~~from understanding and addressing the substance of the consumers’ intended~~  
19 ~~dispute, and thereby increases the likelihood that inaccurate information remains~~  
20 ~~on consumer reports.~~

21 ~~146.—Consumers cannot reasonably avoid the injury because consumers~~  
22 ~~cannot control what information Experian sends to the furnisher and Experian~~  
23 ~~never discloses to consumers what information it sent to the furnisher.~~

~~147. No countervailing benefits to consumers or to competition exist. Neither consumers nor competition benefit from Experian's practice of mischaracterizing the nature or factual basis of a dispute.~~

~~147. Therefore, Experian has engaged, and continues to engage, in unfair acts and practices in violation of the CFPA. 12 U.S.C. §§ 5531(c), 5536(a)(1)(B).~~

**COUNT XI**  
**~~Unfair Acts or Practices:~~ Excessive and Unreasonable Reliance on a  
Furnisher's ACDV Response**

~~148.~~165. The allegations in paragraphs 1 through ~~103~~109 are incorporated herein by reference.

~~149.~~166. Experian's practice of conducting an investigation by doing nothing more than sending an ACDV to a furnisher and implementing the furnisher's response, and not seeking or taking into account additional, relevant information as part of its reinvestigation, despite having or receiving evidence of that furnisher's unreliability, is unfair.

167. ThisAs alleged in paragraphs 52 to 68, Experian's reliance on furnishers' ACDV responses causes inaccurate information to remain in consumer reports.

~~150.~~168. Because this practice causes ~~or~~and is likely to cause inaccurate reporting to remain on consumer reports~~and~~, it thereby causes ~~or~~and is likely to cause substantial injury.

~~151.~~169. Consumers cannot reasonably avoid the injury because Experian controls the dispute process and what items appear on consumer reports. The dispute and reinvestigation process—particularly the details of how Experian handles disputes and conducts reinvestigations—is largely unknown to consumers.

~~152.~~170. The practice does not provide countervailing benefits to consumers or to competition. Neither consumers nor competition benefit from Experian's inadequate reinvestigation procedures.



1 ~~153.~~171. Therefore, Experian has engaged, and continues to engage, in  
2 unfair acts and practices in violation of the CFPA. 12 U.S.C. §§ 5531(c),  
3 5536(a)(1)(B).

4 172. This claim arises from the Bureau's Investigation and any applicable  
5 statute of limitations for this claim was suspended (1) from December 3, 2021  
6 through and including January 31, 2023 and (2) from July 26, 2024 through and  
7 including December 1, 2024, pursuant to the Fourth Tolling Agreement.

8 **COUNT XII**  
9 **Unfair Acts or Practices: Failing to Prevent Improper Tradeline Reinsertions**

10 ~~154.~~173. The allegations in paragraphs 1 through ~~103~~109 are  
11 incorporated herein by reference.

12 ~~155.~~174. Experian improperly reinserts tradelines into consumer reports  
13 due to its practice of not attempting to match newly reported tradelines to  
14 tradelines that were previously deleted as a result of a dispute if the subsequent  
15 furnishing was from a new furnisher.

16 ~~156.~~175. This practice causes or is likely to cause substantial injury by  
17 increasing the likelihood that previously deleted and erroneous information  
18 reappears in a consumer's file. Moreover, because Experian fails to notify  
19 consumers that new furnishers reinserted previously deleted information,  
20 consumers are unaware that they should file a dispute to challenge such  
21 information, thereby increasing the amount of time that erroneous information  
22 appears on consumer files.

23 ~~157.~~176. Consumers cannot reasonably avoid the injury because they  
24 cannot control what Experian puts on their consumer reports.

25 ~~158.~~177. No countervailing benefits to consumers or to competition  
26 exist. There is no consumer benefit to Experian's failure to prevent the reinsertion  
27 of deleted tradelines, and no legitimate benefit to competition.  
28



1 ~~159.~~178. Therefore, Experian has engaged and continues to engage in  
2 unfair acts and practices, in violation of the CFPA. 12 U.S.C. §§ 5531(c),  
3 5536(a)(1)(B).

4 179. This claim arises from the Bureau's Investigation and any applicable  
5 statute of limitations for this claim was suspended (1) from December 3, 2021  
6 through and including January 31, 2023 and (2) from July 26, 2024 through and  
7 including December 1, 2024, pursuant to the Fourth Tolling Agreement.

8 **COUNT XIII**  
9 **Violations of the CFPA Arising From FCRA Violations**

10 ~~160.~~180. The allegations in paragraphs 1 through ~~103~~109 are  
11 incorporated herein by reference.

12 ~~161.~~181. Section 1036(a)(1)(A) of the CFPA prohibits a covered person  
13 from offering or providing to a consumer any financial product or service not in  
14 conformity with "Federal consumer financial law" or otherwise committing any act  
15 or omission in violation of a "Federal consumer financial law." 12 U.S.C.  
16 § 5536(a)(1)(A).

17 ~~162.~~182. The Fair Credit Reporting Act is an "enumerated consumer  
18 law," 12 U.S.C. § 5481(12)(F), and therefore a "Federal consumer financial law."  
19 12 U.S.C. § 5481(14).

20 ~~163.~~183. Therefore, Experian's violations of the Fair Credit Reporting  
21 Act, described above in Counts I through IX, constitute violations of the CFPA, 12  
22 U.S.C. § 5536(a)(1)(A).

23 184. This claim arises from the Bureau's Investigation and any applicable  
24 statute of limitations for this claim was suspended (1) from December 3, 2021  
25 through and including January 31, 2023 and (2) from July 26, 2024 through and  
26 including December 1, 2024, pursuant to the Fourth Tolling Agreement.

**DEMAND FOR RELIEF**

~~164.~~185. Wherefore, the Bureau requests, under 12 U.S.C. § 5565, that the Court:

a. permanently enjoin Experian from committing future violations of the CFPA, FCRA, Regulation V, or any provision of “Federal consumer financial law,” as defined by 12 U.S.C. § 5481(14);

b. grant additional injunctive relief as the Court may deem just and proper;

c. order Experian to pay redress to consumers harmed by its unlawful conduct, including restitution, damages, refunds, or other monetary relief;

d. order Experian to disgorge any ill-gotten gains;

e. impose civil money penalties on Experian;

f. award costs against Experian; and

g. award additional relief as the Court may determine to be just and proper.

1 Dated: ~~January 7~~June 6, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

2 ~~Erie Halperin~~  
3 Cara Petersen  
4 Acting Enforcement Director

5 David Rubenstein  
6 Deputy Enforcement Director

7 Maureen McOwen  
8 Assistant Deputy Enforcement Director

9 Max Weinstein  
10 Enforcement Attorney

11 /s/ ~~Colin Hector~~Max Weinstein  
12 Max Weinstein (admitted pro hac vice)  
13 Email: max.weinstein@cfpb.gov  
14 Phone: (202) 435-9172

15 Colin Hector, Cal. Bar No. 281795  
16 Email: colin.hector@cfpb.gov  
17 Phone: (681) 326-7093

18 ~~Max Weinstein~~Tracy Hilmer (*pro hac vice*  
19 ~~pending~~forthcoming)  
20 Email: ~~max.weinstein~~  
21 tracy.hilmer@cfpb.gov  
22 Phone: (202) 435-~~9172~~7459

23 Alexander Johnson-Lee (*pro hac vice*  
24 forthcoming)  
25 Email: alexander.johnson@cfpb.gov  
26 Phone: (202) 815-8578

27 Daniel Cheriyan (*pro hac vice* forthcoming)  
28 Email: daniel.cheriyen@cfpb.gov  
Phone: (202) 435-7822

~~Elizabeth Anne Aniskevich (pro hac vice~~  
~~forthcoming)~~  
~~Email: elizabeth.aniskevich@cfpb.gov~~  
~~Phone: (202) 435-5278~~

Jennifer B. Yadoo (*pro hac vice*  
forthcoming)  
Email: jennifer.yadoo@cfpb.gov  
Phone: (202) 322-7346

*Enforcement Attorneys*

Consumer Financial Protection Bureau  
1700 G Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20552

*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
*Consumer Financial Protection Bureau*